

| Corporate Priority | Community | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed Scheme | CCTV in Crescent Road, Great Hall and Torrington Car Parks | | | | | |
| Outline of Proposal, including timescales | | | | | | |
| | These car parks suffer from vandalism, graffiti, anti-social behaviour, use as public lavatories and from being used regularly by rough sleepers. On thankfully rare occasion, they also suffer from criminal activity. This cost the council a proportion of the cleaning and maintenance and repairs spend in 2018/19 of £40,500. The council also employs security guards who patrol the car parks. They will approach rough sleepers and anyone displaying antisocial behaviour and ask them to move on. However, they are constantly ignored and have no power to take any action. This costs the council £25,000 per year. | | | | | |
| | It is proposed to terminate the security guard contract and replace with CCTV cameras. There is no additional charge for monitoring, although there will be a charge for camera maintenance. | | | | | |
| | It is hoped that CCTV cameras will deter poor behaviour and provide evidence for the Police should there be any criminal activity. | | | | | |
| Sources of funding | On Street Parking Reserve | | | | | |
| Objectives | To install CCTV in Crescent Road, Great Hall and Torrington car parks to improve security, deter antisocial behaviour and reduce revenue cost of security, cleaning and repairs. | | | | | |
| Benefits | Improve security and provide evidence to the Police for criminal behaviour Deter anti-social behaviour Reduce revenue cost of security, cleaning and repairs Officers should not be required to clean human excretions daily, which is very unpleasant for them Partners, South Eastern Rail, The Range and Canada Life would all be very pleased to have CCTV in Torrington car park. | | | | | |



| How will the proposal contribute towards: | CCTV plays a role in making certain that Tunbridge Wells is a confident borough. It is a key contributor to ensuring that the borough is a safe place to live and that the borough remains the safest place in Kent. |
|--|--|
| Corporate Priority? | |
| Local Area Agreement? | |
| Asset Management Plan? | |
| Other plans and strategies (please specify)? | |
| Constraints | A number of constraints exist - None |
| (e.g. time, reliance on external funding, legal or technical factors) | The security contract has finished and not been renewed. It can therefore be terminated quickly and without cost. We have funding available from the On Street Parking reserve that can be used for this purpose. |
| Is this scheme already in the Capital Programme? | No. |
| (If so, has the work started or has the | |



| contract been let?) | |
|---|--|
| Does this scheme create new assets, which the Council will need to replace in due course? If so, please give the asset life expectancies. | Yes. They come with 3 years warranty, which can be extended. It is understood that the cameras have a life of at least 10 years. |
| Have Accountancy agreed that the proposed expenditure should correctly be treated as capital? | Yes |
| Implications of proposal being rejected | Revenue costs for manned security, cleaning and repairs would continue and the public would continue to be sceptical about their safety in the car parks. The Police still have no evidence of criminal behaviour. |
| Implications of proposal being delayed | See above. |
| Alternative solutions | See above. |
| (If capital funding not available) | |
| Risks (outline risks and action required to meet them) | The main issues are highlighted above. |



| How does this proposal impact on equalities? | No impact. | |
|--|------------|--|
| Are there any VAT implications? | No. | |



| Capital Costs | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Expenditure | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 |
| Site Acquisition | | | | |
| Construction | £20,000 | | | |
| Structural Maintenance | | | | |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant, Furniture and Equipment | £60,000 | | | |
| Grants and Contributions | | | | |
| Other expenditure | | | | |
| Total | £80,000 | | | |
| Less external grants and contributions | | | | |
| Less sales of related fixed assets | | | | |
| Net cost to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council | £80,000 | | | |



| Revenue Effects of Capital Expenditure | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Expenditure / Income | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 |
| Loss of Interest (3% of net cost) | | | | |
| Additional revenue costs (please specify) | | £4,000 | £4,000 | £4,000 |
| Reduced revenue costs (please specify) | | | | |
| Security Cost | | £25,000 | £25,000 | £25,000 |
| Cleaning & Repairs (Estimate) | | £5,000 | £5,000 | £5,000 |
| Additional income (please specify) | | | | |
| Net Saving to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council | | £26,000 | £26,000 | £26,000 |

| Net Present Value (Please speak with Finance if | |
|---|--|
| you are unsure what this is) | |

Date the scheme discussed by the Head of Service with the relevant Portfolio Holder:

Supply email endorsing their support for the approval of funding by Cabinet.

Work must not commence without budgetary approval from Cabinet (or s151 in an emergency)